

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

**IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON
TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES,
AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Julie Maclin, as an Individual and an Heir at Law,

Plaintiff,

v.

Johnson & Johnson et al.,

Defendants.

**MDL NO. 16-2738 (FLW) (LHG)
JUDGE FREDA L. WOLFSON
MAG. JUDGE LOIS H. GOODMAN**

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

Civil Action No.: 3:18-cv-333

DIRECT FILED ACTION

IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

Identification of Plaintiff(s)

1. Name of individual injured due to the use of talcum powder product(s):

Julie Maclin, as an Individual and an Heir at Law .

2. At the time of the filing of the specific case, Plaintiff(s) is/are a citizen of

Texas .

3. Consortium Claim(s): The following individual(s) allege damages for loss of consortium: _____

4. Survival and/or Wrongful Death Claims:

Name and residence of Decedent Plaintiff when she suffered the talcum powder product(s) related death: Patricia Ann Justice, Texas

5. Plaintiff/Decedent was born on April 4, 1940 and died on June 30, 2014 .

6. Plaintiff is filing this case in a representative capacity as the Heir _____ of the Estate of Patricia Ann Justice, having been duly appointed as the _____ by the _____ Court of _____ .

7. As a result of using talcum powder products, Plaintiff/Decedent suffered personal and economic injur(ies) that are alleged to have been caused by the use of the products identified in Paragraph 16 below, but not limited to, the following:

_____ injury to herself
 _____ ☒ injury to the person represented
 _____ ☒ wrongful death
 _____ ☒ survivorship action
 _____ economic loss
 _____ loss of services
 _____ loss of consortium
 _____ other: _____

Identification of Defendants

8. Plaintiff(s)/Decedent Plaintiff(s) is/are suing the following Defendant(s) (please check all that apply)¹:

☒ Johnson & Johnson
☒ Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.

¹ If additional Counts and/or Counts directed to other Defendants are alleged by the specific Plaintiff(s) as to whom this *Short Form Complaint* applies, the specific facts supporting these allegations must be pleaded by the Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Defendants against whom they are alleged must be specifically identified on a separate sheet of paper attached to this *Short Form Complaint*.

- ☒ Imerys Talc America, Inc. (“Imerys Talc”)
- ☐ Personal Care Products Council (“PCPC”)

Additional Defendants:

- ☐ Other(s) Defendant(s) (please specify): _____

JURISDICTION & VENUE

Jurisdiction:

9. Jurisdiction in this Short Form Complaint is based on:

- ☒ Diversity of Citizenship
- ☐ Other (The basis of any additional ground for jurisdiction must

be pled in sufficient detail as required by the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure). _____

Venue:

10. District Court(s) and Division (if any) in which venue was proper where you might have otherwise filed this Short Form Complaint absent the direct filing Order entered by this Court and to where remand could be ordered by the Judicial Panel for trial:

Texas Northern District Court

CASE SPECIFIC FACTS

11. Plaintiff(s) currently reside(s) in (City, State):

North Richland Hills, Texas.

12. At the time of the Plaintiff's/Decedent's diagnosis with a talcum powder product(s) injury, Plaintiff/Decedent resided in (City, State):

North Richland Hills, Texas.

13. The Plaintiff/Decedent was diagnosed with a talcum powder product(s) injury in (City/State): Bedford, Texas on March 18, 2013 (date).

14. To the best of Plaintiff's knowledge, Plaintiff/Decedent began using talcum powder product(s) on or about the following date: Approximately at least since 1964 and continued the use of talcum powder product(s) through about the following date: Approximately June 30, 2014.

15. The Plaintiff/Decedent purchased talcum powder product(s) in the following (State(s)): Texas.

16. Plaintiff/Decedent used the following talcum powder products:

☒ Johnson & Johnson's Baby Powder

☒ Shower to Shower

CAUSES OF ACTION

17. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* as if fully set forth herein.

18. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* are herein adopted by reference by Plaintiff(s):

- X Count I: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Failure to Warn (Against Imerys Talc)
- X Count II: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Failure to Warn (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- X Count III: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against Imerys Talc)
- X Count IV: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- X Count V: Breach of Express Warranties (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- X Count VI: Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- X Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- X Count VIII: Negligence (Against Imerys Talc)
- X Count IX: Negligence (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- ☐ Count X: Negligence (Against PCPC)

- X Count XI: Negligent Misrepresentation (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- X Count XII: Fraud (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- ☐ Count XIII: Fraud (Against PCPC)
- X Count XIV: Violation of State Consumer Protection Laws of the State of Texas (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants).
- X Count XV: Fraudulent Concealment (Against Imerys Tale)
- X Count XVI: Fraudulent Concealment (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- ☐ Count XVII: Fraudulent Concealment (Against PCPC)
- X Count XVIII: Civil Conspiracy (Against All Defendants)
- X Count XIX: Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)
- X Count XX: Punitive Damages (Against All Defendants)
- X Count XXI: Discovery Rule and Tolling (Against All Defendants)
- X Count XXII: Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)
- X Count XXIII: Survival Action (Against All Defendants)
- ☐ Furthermore, Plaintiff(s) assert(s) the following additional theories and/or State Causes of Action against Defendant(s) identified in Paragraph nine (9) above. If Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories of

recovery, to the extent they require specificity in pleadings, the specific facts and allegations supporting these theories must be pled by Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. _____

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and judgment against Defendants of compensatory damages, punitive damages, interest, costs of suit, and such further relief as the Court deems equitable and just, and as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint as appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff(s) hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Dated: January 9, 2018

Respectfully Submitted by,

/s/James A. Morris, Jr.

James A. Morris, Jr.

Morris Law Firm

4111 W. Alameda Ave. Suite 611

Burbank, CA 91505

Counsel for Plaintiff(s)

Julie Maclin, as an

Individual and as an Heir at

Law